No 19.

missioner to be named by the arbiters, and that within the shire in which the witnesses live; and in these terms granted deligence in this case.

Fol. Dic. v. 3. p. 35. Kilkerran, (ARBITRATION.) No p. 34.

Time of Endurance.

1491. May 17. Marion Cuninghame against Robert Drummound.

Gir ony compromit be maid be certane parties, anent ony debate or contraverfie betwix thame, and ony jugeis arbiteris chosen be thame to that effect, with
this restriction and condition, that the saidis jugeis sall give furth thair decrete
and deliverance in the said matter ather incontinent or befoir ony certane day
presixt and agreit upon betwix the saidis partis, and expremit in the said compromit; and it happin that the saidis arbiteris deliver ane decrete after the said day
contenit in the said compromit, na prorogatioun of the day beand maid with consent of the parties; the samen deliverance and decrete is be way of exceptioun
null, and sould have na execution aganis the parties quha consentit not to the
geving thairof, or to the prorogatioun of the day of the compromit.

Balfour, (ARBITRIE.) p. 414.

No 20.
A decree pronounced after
the term expires is null.

1505. March 7.

JOHNE BONAR of Rossie against DAVID BALFOUR of Carristoun.

CERTANE parties beand compromittit in arbiteris and amicable compositouris to ane certane day and place, gif thairafter it happinis that the saids parties continue the compromit to ane uther day, and alswa change the place thairof to ane uther place, and the arbiteris give the sentence and decrete befoir the day to the quhilk the compromit was continent, the said decrete oblisses not the parties, nather can have executioun aganis thame, except thay willinglie of thair awin consent obey and fulfil the samen.

Balfour, (Arbitrie.) p. 414.

No 21.
A fubmission prorogated to a different day and place from those originally named, was not obligatory, if the arbiters pronounced decree before the day.

1593. March. L. SILLARTOWNHILL against PRIOR of BLANTYRE*.

In an action betwixt the Laird of Sillartownhill, and the Pryour of Blantyre, the Lords found, that the Pryour having submitted himself by his bond to abide at the determination of the Chancelar and the Provist of Lincluden, what right he, his airs and assignees, sould mak to my Lord Provand, his heirs and assignees, of the teinds of Provand, in all time coming; the bond being onlie maid and sub-

No 22.

A bond,
wherein a
man fubmitted himfelf to
the determination of certain perfons
therein nam-