$\mathbf{H} \in \mathbf{E}$ $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbf{Z}$ $\mathbf{E} \in \mathbf{L}$ \mathbf{D} .

1470. October 17. JOHN LOGIE against ANNA: Howison.

A NE tak and assedatioun of ony landis beand set and disponit be ony man to his tenant, and his wife, and the langest levar of thame two; gif it happin the said tenant to deceis befoir the ische of the tak, the settar and gevar of the samin may on na wayis persew his said tenantis wife or bairnis for ony herezeld, considering that the tak set be him, in manner foirsaid, is not as zit endit or expyrit.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 376. Balfour, (HEREZELD.) No 6. p. 200.

1547. June 16. Cutler or Sinclaik against WAUCHOPE.

In ane action of spuilzie movit be John Cutler in ______; dgainst Isobella Wauchope, relict quondam Jacobi Logan in _____, the Lords decernit, that the said John Cutler aught na herezeld to her, because his father that died had but four acres or thereby of land of the said Isobel, lying beside ______, and dwelt thereupon, and was not husband; and the laws of this realm require ad hoc quod aliquis solvat herezeldam, quod sit husbandus sedens et moriens super terram alicujus liberi hominis, et quod ad minus tenuerit de eo octavam partem unius davatæ terræ, (four pleuchs of land;) et in casu nostro dicti Joannis pater mortuus, nec sedebat tempore sui decessus super dictam terram, nec tantam tenebat terram; et sic domini decreverunt herezeldæ capti, ipsam Isabellam captricem ejusdem.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 376. Sinclair, MS. p. 77.

No I. Herezeld may not be demanded until the jish of the tack.

No 2. Herezelds ate

when the tenant was re-

ground, and

did possess at least octa-

zam partem unius davatæ

ta terræ is four ploughs

of land.

terræ. Dava-

siding and died upon the

only due