## ROYAL COURT

12th May, 1989

Before: The Deputy Bailiff and
Jurats Blampied and Orchard

Her Majesty's Attorney General
- v Michael Paul Maguire

Review of probation order imposed on the 11th March, 1988, for various motor traffic and receiving offences

H.M. Attorney General for the Crown Advocate F.J. Benest for the Defendant.

## JUDG MENT

DEPUTY BAILIFF: We have decided to take a merciful view. We heard the Attorney twice say that he was reluctant to move for custody and we think that perhaps if he had had the benefit of knowing the present circumstances and knowing the extent to which Maguire had been in work, he might have been able to take a different view. We do not in any way criticise his conclusions, but we are in a position to take a more merciful view and we think that if Mr. Trott the Probation Officer had been able to contact Maguire, we would have had a definite non-custodial recommendation in the further report.

Maguire, we hope that you will take note of the chance the Court is giving you. We think you have done extremely well, you have not reoffended for some twenty months and we hope that you will continue in that way, and therefore we are going to discharge the original Probation Order and fine you for the original offences to give you a chance to continue to rehabilitate yourself. Therefore, the Probation Order is discharged and for the original offences on Count 17, you are fined £40 or in default of payment two weeks' imprisonment; on Count 18, you are fined £40 or in default two weeks' imprisonment consecutive; on Count 29, you are fined £40 or in default two weeks' imprisonment consecutive; on Count 34, you are fined £60 or in default three weeks' imprisonment consecutive; on Count 35, you are fined £20 or in default one week's imprisonment making a total of £200 or in default ten weeks' imprisonment. We further order that you pay those fines at the rate of £40 per week and if you default on £40 per week you will go to prison.

n.b: no authorities.