

41

ROYAL COURT
(Poursuites Criminelles)

17th March, 1989

Before: The Deputy Bailiff and
Jurats Vint and Le Ruez

Her Majesty's Attorney General

- v -

Ian Alan Fernando

One count of malicious damage to
a motor vehicle.

Advocate C.E. Whelan for the Crown
Advocate M.S.D. Yates for the accused.

JUDGMENT

DEPUTY BAILIFF: In the unanimous view of the Court this is a serious offence which really deserves a sentence of imprisonment. Therefore you escape imprisonment only because of the gap in your criminal record and the lenient way in which your co-accused was dealt with in the Police Court. The Court is entirely satisfied that the amount of the fine asked for is correct and therefore there will be a fine of £450 with, in default of payment, five months' imprisonment. Mr. Yates, as to time to pay and the amount of payments, we understand there is £200 of bail money. Therefore, £200 should be paid immediately and the only question then is how the balance should be

paid. Have you anything you wish to say on that?

ADVOCATE YATES: (indistinct)

DEPUTY BAILIFF: There was no declaration made to the Viscount to that effect and therefore, as far as the records are concerned, it's your client's bail money. If somebody else puts up the bail, they should make sure to protect their position at the time.

ADVOCATE YATES: (indistinct)

DEPUTY BAILIFF: The Court takes the view that fines of this kind should hurt a little. So, £200 will be paid immediately and the balance will be paid at the rate of £40 per week. If he does not make those payments then he will go to prison on the default of five months' imprisonment.

n.b: no authorities.