Her Majesty's Attorney General

-v-

Anthony Stephen Daly

(Before the Deputy Bailiff, assisted by Jurats Baker and Gruchy) Subject matter: malicious damage and breach of Probation order

DEPUTY BAILLIFF: We cannot understand why access was not available to the hostel. The hostel is after all the home of the residents and there is nothing wrong about an adult returning home at one o'clock in the morning. If the policy is not to allow keys then the warden should act as night-porter up to say one-thirty a.m. So, we have some sympathy for Daly. More importantly, we think that the Police Officer who did nothing to help is to be criticised. What Daly wanted was help. He explained his predicament and he got no response at all. Police Officers should always remember that crime prevention is a large part of their rol **e**. In the circumstances, we have no hesitation in granting the conclusions so that Daly preserves his liberty. Therefore Daly, we sentence you for the offence to thirty days imprisonment which means in fact that it will have been served and we continue the Probation Order as before.

Now, you may not remember, but I remember your case well.I said in June that both the Court and the Crown Officers were sharing the risk of placing you on probation with the Probation service and that we appreciated the risks involved. We that that you are doing (rescally well / but we expect you to support us too and to try and avoid any further breaches whatsoever.

DALY: Well, I would do if I could get employment. DEPUTY BAILIFF: Well, you must continue with your Probation Officer to do the best you can.

n.b. no authorities referred to.