## IN THE CENTRAL FAMILY COURT

Case No: FD13D00007

BETWEEN

## RICHARD GRANT ROGAN Applicant

and

SARAH ANNABEL ROGAN <u>Respondent</u>

This judgment was delivered in private, but the judge has given leave for this version of the judgment to be published.

## SUPPLEMENTAL WRITTEN JUDGMENT OF HIS HONOUR JUDGE EDWARD HESS (Handed down by email on 17<sup>th</sup> June 2020)

- 1. This judgment is intended to supplement my substantive written judgment of 9 June 2020. It concerns the financial dispute arising out of the divorce between Mr Richard Grant Rogan and Mrs Sarah Annabel Rogan.
- 2. In my earlier judgment I invited the parties to put together a draft order consequent upon my judgment. This has led to some disagreements which I need to resolve. In resolving these issues I have had the benefit of reading the husband's email dated 16<sup>th</sup> June 2020 and Ms Batt's submissions, also dated 16<sup>th</sup> June 2020.
- 3. I have the following observations on the issues arising and I annex to this supplemental judgment the form of order I propose to approve and ask the court staff to seal.
- 4. I do not propose to comment on the criticisms made by Ms Batt of the husband's decision to seek the assistance of Mr Mel Sims in drafting proposed amendments to the order.
- 5. I have deleted the reference to 8% in paragraph 3, my intention being to follow the formula in the standard family orders. I note, however, that the current rate is 8%.
- 6. I note that a 'gap year' was included in the child periodical payments order of 14<sup>th</sup> March 2014 (at paragraph 5). Further, I cannot recall the husband raising the 'gap year'

issue during the variation application hearing and I agree with Ms Batt that it is too late to raise a new substantive issue at the drafting stage. In any event a clause of this nature is common practice for an order of this sort: see Re N [2009] 1 FLR 1442. The 'roofing allowance' issue was raised during the hearing and an agreement reached which is included in the draft order.

- 7. I have made a change to paragraph 6 of the submitted draft in acknowledgement that there is some force in what the husband has said on this point.
- 8. I have made a change to paragraph 7 of the submitted draft to match the wording in the standard family orders.
- 9. I have redrafted paraph 8 of the order to leave the contentious issues referred to therein to be dealt with by Holman J.
- 10. I prefer Ms Batt's arguments in relation to the husband's proposed extra words "*it being acknowledged ...term of this order*" and therefore have not included them in my draft.
- 11. I have been persuaded by the husband to align the date of paying the costs to the same date of paying the lump sum, i.e. 31<sup>st</sup> July 2020.

His Honour Judge Edward Hess Central Family Court 17<sup>th</sup> June 2020